UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,356	01/12/2006	Shin Yazawa	283520US0PCT	7829
22850 ORLON SPIV	7590 10/31/2007 AK MCCLELLAND M	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PESELEV, ELLI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		10/31/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

•

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,356	YAZAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Elli Peselev	1623	İ					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 21-31 and 54-56.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in or the states of the claims after en	itty is below of attach	ieu.					
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
3. Other:		CON V.						
		CUL PLATEV						
	•	PRIMARY EXAMIN	ER					
		GROUP 1200						

Application No. 10/564,356

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that the claimed cholestanyl glycoside anticancer agents surprisingly exhibit drastically enhanced antitumor efficacy, as evidenced in Figures 1 and 2. Figures 1 and 2 have been considered but have not been found persuasive. Figure 1 shows the activity of GlcNAcbeta1, 4 GalChol-Lipo and Figure 2 shows activity of Fuc alpha 1, 3GalChol-Lipo. However note that The terms "GlcNAc-Gal" and "Fuc-Gal" encompassed by the present claim are not limited to the specific glycosides tested. Further, said Figures do not show the activity for GlcNac-Gal-GlcChol-Lipo encompassed by the present claims.